

# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows


Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017

There are two different definitions of acids and bases that show up in this chapter:


1. Lewis acids accept an electron pair; Lewis bases donate an electron pair
2. Brønsted-Lowry acids donate a proton ( $H^+$  ion); Brønsted-Lowry bases accept a proton

Brønsted-Lowry acids/bases are a subset of Lewis acids/bases. If something is a Brønsted-Lowry base it must also be a Lewis base but the reverse is not true. When an organic chemist says “acid” or “base”, what we normally mean is Brønsted-Lowry acid or base. We use the terms **nucleophile** (Nu or Nu<sup>-</sup>) for Lewis bases, and **electrophile** (E or E<sup>+</sup>) for Lewis acids.

The only difference between the two reactions below is what group is being attacked by the  $HO^-$  - if it's a proton, the  $HO^-$  is acting as a base, and if it's a methyl group, the  $HO^-$  is acting as a nucleophile only. (Confusingly, chemists will often use “nucleophile” to mean specifically “only-nucleophile-but-not-a-base”. Officially, though, bases are a subset of nucleophiles, and acids are a subset of electrophiles.)




Another part to consider is the group that gets displaced – the **leaving group** (LG). This group takes the electrons from its former bond with it when it leaves. This means that if you showed the same reaction in reverse, the leaving group would become the base/nucleophile and vice versa.



## Lewis Acids and Bases

We can show the flow of electrons to a Lewis acid by using curved arrows. These are used to show the movement of **electrons only**, not anything else! Two electrons moving as a pair (like in a reaction between a Lewis acid and base) are shown as a full-headed arrow. (One electron moving by itself – a radical – is shown as a half-headed or fishhook arrow. We'll cover these later.) The Lewis base “attacks” the Lewis acid by pushing electrons towards it.




In other words, these arrows are used to take electrons from electron-rich areas, and give them to electron-poor areas (kind of like Robin Hood on a much smaller scale). There are only three legal moves for curved arrows. Note that these moves describe where the electrons are before the step occurs, and where they end up afterwards. Just like in general chemistry, “Before” is usually shown to the left of the straight, non-curved arrow, and “Afterwards” is usually shown to the right.


1. Lone pair on an atom  $\rightarrow$  bond to that same atom (in this case, lone pair on F becomes a new B-F bond.)

# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows


Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017



2. Bond to an atom  $\rightarrow$  lone pair on that same atom (in this case, electrons from B-F bond become a new lone pair on F.)




3. Bond to an atom  $\rightarrow$  different bond to that same atom (in this case, electrons from B-H bond become a new H-H bond. Note that there's also a second arrow happening here that converts the H-O bond into a LP on O at the same time.)




Let's look at these examples in more detail to determine why things happened this way. This is also a good time to mention that organic chemists will usually neglect to show lone pairs if they're not involved in a particular step of a mechanism. But generally, if you're showing one LP on an atom, you should show all LPs on that atom. This is how things will look from now on.


Lewis acids accept electron pairs, so a common category of Lewis acids is atoms with less than a full octet (electron-deficient compounds), such as  $\text{BF}_3$ . We can show this reacting with an electron-rich compound like  $\text{F}^-$ , a Lewis base. One of the lone pairs on  $\text{F}^-$  is sent towards B, creating a new F-B bond afterwards. Note that the total charge is conserved: there's an overall -1 charge before the reaction, so there has to be an overall -1 charge after the reaction. Since  $\text{F}^-$  is the atom that gave up electrons during the attack, it loses its negative charge. Since B gained electrons during the attack, it gains a negative charge.



You can also show this reaction happening in reverse: here, the electrons come out of the F-B bond and recreate the fourth lone pair on F. Again, charge is conserved, and the atom where the arrow ends (the bottom F) gains negative charge while the B loses its negative charge.




Not all Lewis acids are electron-deficient – some already have a full octet but have a  $\delta^+$  charge due to polar bonds. It's tempting to show the same kind of reaction as above, but if we only add new electrons to carbon then we'll violate the octet rule.




# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows

Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017


Instead, something else needs to leave the carbon to free up some space. This makes the reaction an **electron pair displacement**. In this case the lone pairs on O are attacking the C and displacing the electrons out of the C-Br bond.



It is also possible to use electrons from a bond to perform the attack, rather than using a lone pair. In this example, even though B has a negative charge, it does not have a lone pair. Instead, it attacks with the electrons out of the B-H bond.







In the displacement reactions we've shown so far, the bond that got broken was a  $\sigma$  bond. It's also possible to break a  $\pi$  bond by attacking it.



## Frontier Molecular Orbital Theory

We know that electrons have to exist in orbitals, so which orbitals exactly are involved in a mechanism? This is described by Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) Theory. Whenever a mechanistic step occurs, electrons are being transferred from one orbital to another during the attack. The orbital where electrons are attacking from is the **highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)** and the orbital that is being attacked is the **lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)**. Often the HOMO is a lone pair (nonbonding or nb) orbital, but it can also be a sigma bond or pi bond. The LUMO will often be an antibonding orbital – in the first example below, the C-I  $\sigma$  bond breaks as a result of the attack, so this means the incoming electrons must have gone into the  $\sigma^*$  orbital to reduce its bond order to zero. This can also happen to  $\pi$  bonds, as in the second and third examples.



# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows

Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017

## Showing Resonance with Curved Arrows

We've already seen how to draw resonance structures for simple molecules in Ch. 1, but for more complicated molecules it can sometimes be difficult to figure out multiple Lewis dot structures when starting from scratch. For this reason, curved arrows are helpful to move electrons around and generate a new resonance form from a structure you already know. Some rules to know are:

1. The number of total electrons must stay the same. If there's an overall negative charge on one form, there must be an overall negative charge on all forms.
2. Arrows follow the same rules for normal arrow pushing, so only three moves are legal: bond to LP, LP to bond, or bond to bond.
3. Nuclei don't move around, only electrons. So you can't change the connectivity of a structure by moving an atom to somewhere else. This means that  $\pi$  bonds and charges tend to be the parts that move around most often. (There are some resonance forms that involve breaking  $\sigma$  bonds, but these mostly only come up in highly polarized bonds like in H-Cl).
4. As above, if you're trying to add electrons to an atom with a full octet, then that atom has to relinquish electrons to somewhere else.
5. Out of all the resonance forms, if some have filled octets on all atoms and some don't, then the filled-octet forms will be the most stable.
6. If all resonance forms have the same number of unfilled-octet atoms, then the most stable forms will be the ones with the fewest total charges, or with negative charges on more EN atoms and positive charges on less EN atoms.

With this in mind, we can look at an example. For clarity, this is shown two different ways: with the atoms explicitly written, and as skeletal structures. Here, the positive carbon on the right end of the molecule is electron-deficient, so the  $\pi$  bond donates some electron density to it. This leaves the leftmost carbon electron-deficient afterwards.




As another example, we can look at an electron-rich molecule. In this case the negative carbon is sending its electrons to create a new  $\pi$  bond with the central carbon, but this means the central carbon has to drop its existing  $\pi$  bond, which becomes a new lone pair elsewhere.




These two examples together show a very common pattern. Often a molecule will have a three-atom group where two of the atoms are connected by a double bond, and the third atom has a charge or lone pair. You can use resonance arrows to show the two end carbons swapping so that the one that was double-bonded before now has a charge and vice-versa. In the example below, note that each step involves a three-atom group where the double bond swaps places with the charge. This tends to give us patterns where the charge is only located on alternating carbons, but this will not always be the case if there are heteroatoms (anything other than C and H) involved. Note that we can combine arrows to get from any resonance form to any other resonance form, so writing the structures in any order is acceptable so long as the arrows make sense.

# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows


Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017






Average form:  
(charge on  
alternating  
atoms)




Arrows can be combined  
to get from any resonance  
form to any other:



Here are some examples that cover the last two rules.




One more example: something with no charges, only  $\pi$  bonds. (By the way, this shows that all the C-C bonds in benzene are equivalent.)



## Brønsted-Lowry Acids and Bases


These can be shown with the same curved arrows as before, but now we're specifically looking at attacking a proton. When an acid loses a proton, it becomes a conjugate base. When a base gains a proton, it becomes a conjugate acid. Whether a structure is gaining or losing a proton, the two forms are called a conjugate pair. The stronger an acid is, the weaker its conjugate base is, and vice versa.



Compounds that can act as either an acid or base are called **amphoteric**. Water is the most common example. This means it's part of two conjugate pairs at once.


# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows

Jacquie Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017



## Measuring Acid and Base Strength

This is determined by the equilibrium position for an acid-base reaction with water. The equilibrium constant,  $K_{\text{eq}}$ , is determined the way it normally is: all of the product concentrations, divided by all of the reactant concentrations. However, since  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is a reactant and its concentration is assumed to be constant, this term can be multiplied out to give  $K_{\text{a}}$ , the acidity constant.



Stronger acids have an equilibrium that favors the products more, so the equilibrium will lie farther to the right. This means that **stronger acids have higher  $K_{\text{a}}$  values**. However, the possible range of  $K_{\text{a}}$  values covers so many orders of magnitude that we usually put it into more manageable terms. We can do this by converting  $K_{\text{a}}$  to  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ . The “p” of something is the negative base-ten log of it (this is the same as with pH, the negative log of  $[\text{H}^{\oplus}]$ ). Since a large  $K_{\text{a}}$  means a small  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ , this means that **stronger acids have lower  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values**. Since stronger acids have weaker conjugate bases, this also means that **weaker bases have lower  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values**. You can think of  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  as a rating of how badly a compound wants to hang on to its proton or get its proton back – a large number means it really wants to keep its proton, which makes it a very weak acid and (if it does get deprotonated) a very strong base. Reactions will always favor making the weaker acid and base over the stronger acid and base. The textbook has a table of  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values – you do not need to memorize these, but it will be helpful in future to get a feel for where compounds rank relative to each other. (The exact numbers will also vary from book to book.) Here are some examples:

pKa	Acid	Conj. Base
-10	HI	I <sup>-</sup>
-1.7	H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O
9.3	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	NH <sub>3</sub>
15.7	H <sub>2</sub> O	HO <sup>-</sup>

$\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  tables normally only show the acid form explicitly, but it's important to remember that they're describing the balance between the acid and its conjugate base. In many reactions, the strength of the conjugate base is the determining factor in outcome, so you need to mentally convert the compounds in the table to their conjugate bases before comparing them to your compound.

The only  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values that can be directly measured in comparison to water are the ones that lie between the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values for water acting as an acid or base (-1.7 to 15.7). Anything outside of this range is harder to measure directly, but it can be measured in relation to an acid with a known  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  in a solvent other than water.  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values can shift by several units depending on conditions such as solvent, but the relative rankings tend to stay the same.


$\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values can be used to predict the equilibrium in acid-base reactions. The general way to do this is with this equation:




# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows

Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017

For example, we can calculate that in a reaction between  $\text{CH}_3^-$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the equilibrium will massively favor the products.



In the reaction between  $\text{I}^-$  and  $\text{HCl}$ , the reactants are favored instead.



For a reaction to be considered “quantitative” or ~100% complete, the  $K_{\text{eq}}$  has to be at least  $10^2$ . This means that less than 1% of the reactants are left.

The  $K_{\text{eq}}$  for a reaction can also be used to find the energy change for the reaction. This is given in terms of Gibbs free energy, or  $\Delta G^\circ$ . Since it's an exponential equation, a small change in  $\Delta G^\circ$  makes a very large change to the  $K_{\text{eq}}$  for the reaction.

$$K_{\text{eq}} = 10^{-\Delta G^\circ / (2.3RT)}$$

## Relationships between Structure and Acidity

All of these are based on the same principles: **More stable negative charge on conjugate base = weaker conjugate base = stronger conjugate acid = lower pKa**. Also, charges are more stable when they're at least partially cancelled out by opposite charges, or if they're spread out over a greater area. Even if we do not know the  $pK_a$  for a compound, we can estimate it based on several trends. You can remember these as **CARDIO**: **C**harge, **A**tom, **R**esonance, **D**ipole **I**nduction, and **O**rbitals. The book only covers some of these at the moment but I'm going to list them all in one place.

- Charge** effect: Positive charge on something makes it more acidic and negative charge makes it less acidic. This is because an acid becomes more negative after losing a proton, so the more positive it is to begin with, the more stabilization it will gain. As an example,  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  has a  $pK_a$  of -1.7, while  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has a  $pK_a$  of 15.7.
- Atom** effect (or element effect in Loudon): if you look at the atom that is losing a proton and its place in the periodic table, it will be more acidic as you move left to right and top to bottom in the table.

increasing acidity $\longrightarrow$				
H-CH <sub>3</sub>	H-NH <sub>2</sub>	H-OH	H-F	increasing acidity $\downarrow$
60	35	15.7	3.2	
		H-SH	H-Cl	
		7	-3	
			H-Br	
			-5	
			H-I	
			-9	

# Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows



Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017

If we assumed base strength was determined solely by electronegativity, then the left-to-right trend makes sense (F is more stable with a negative charge than C, so it's more willing to act like an acid by giving up a proton). But the top-to-bottom trend does not make sense – F is more electronegative than I, but HI is more acidic. This is because the process of an acid dissociating from its proton can be broken into three theoretical steps. These don't happen in real life acid-base reactions but they can be measured under other conditions.


- 1)  $\text{H-A} \longrightarrow \text{H}^\bullet + \text{A}^\bullet$  Energy depends on H-A bond strength
- 2)  $\text{H}^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{H}^\oplus + \text{e}^\ominus$  (constant for all acids)
- 3)  $\text{A}^\bullet + \text{e}^\ominus \longrightarrow \text{A}^\ominus$  Energy depends on electronegativity of A

The reason HI is such a strong acid is because even though I is not that electronegative, the H-I bond is so weak that breaking it costs very little energy. This effect is larger than the effect caused by the difference in electronegativities. So vertical differences within the periodic table are caused mostly by different costs in step 1, while horizontal differences are caused mostly by differences in step 3.


3. **Resonance** effect: This is based on conjugate bases having more than one valid Lewis dot structure, and so more than one valid location for the charge. Since the true molecule is a weighted average of its resonance forms, this means that the charge is spread out over more than one atom. We'll use carboxylic acids as examples. When these lose a proton, they take on a negative charge that can be distributed out through resonance. This makes the charge more stable, which means that they're more acidic than the same molecule without resonance (for instance, a deprotonated alcohol).



4. **Dipole Induction** effect (or polar/inductive effect in Loudon): This is caused by polar bonds further away from the acidic site (the atom that loses a proton). We can look at how different R groups will change the pKa of a carboxylic acid. We'll start with acetic acid, where R is just a CH<sub>3</sub> group, and replace more and more of its Hs with Fs to see how the pKa changes.



As it turns out, having more F atoms drops the pKa by several units. This effect is due to the F-C polar bonds pulling some electron density down the chain away from the carboxylic group, which reduces and stabilizes the negative charge on the conjugate base form.






## Loudon Ch. 3 Review: Acids/Bases/Curved Arrows

Jacque Richardson, CU Boulder – Last updated 5/30/2017

As another example, we can look at the change in  $pK_a$  values when the same group is move closer to the acidic site.



Again, this is because the dipole is being pulled along the chain towards the Cl, but the effect gets weaker the further the Cl gets from the carboxylic acid. F and Cl are two examples of groups that are inductively electron-withdrawing. It is also possible to have groups that are inductively electron-donating, so they destabilize the negative charge and make the compound less acidic instead of more. (Note that separating resonance and induction is sometimes difficult – for example in the two molecules shown under the “Resonance” heading in (3), you could also make the argument that the second oxygen on carboxylic acids helps to stabilize the charge by induction. These effects often work together but not always.)

5. **Orbital** effect: This is based on the hybridization of the atom bearing the negative charge. We already know that hybrid orbitals are averaged-together from s and p orbitals – for example, an  $sp^3$  hybrid is 25% s and 75% p. The more s character an orbital has, the more stable it will be when holding a negative charge, since the s orbitals are closer in to the nucleus. For this reason, a negative charge on sp-hybridized carbon is much more stable than on  $sp^3$ -hybridized carbon.

